

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/404,010	09/23/1999	YING LUO	A-68294/DJB/	7948
20350 7	590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			ANDRES, JANET L	
TWO EMBAR EIGHTH FLO	CADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	, CA 94111-3834		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/404,010	LUO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet L. Andres	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The apportion of the fee. The apportion of the final the fin	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 February 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Appellant's Brief must be filed w R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	ithin the period set f the appeal.	forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	IS.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which wer	e newly			
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 25 and 27-33.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
			a.			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Applicant has asserted a specific utility for diagnosis and prognostic determination of cancer. Applicant argues that this is a specific utility. Applicant argues that the specific biological activity is the detection of mutations of Mkinase associated with cancer and that this is disclosed in the specification. Applicant further argues that the specification discloses a determination of a difference in Mkinase nucleic acid gene levels as being indicative of cancer. Applicant argues that the specification also asserts the use of Mkinase nucleic acids for mapping specific chromosomal regions and for genetic analysis. Applicant argues that a reasonable correlation is supported because the application demonstrates interaction between Mkinase and Traf4.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found to be persuasive. What is provided on pp. 40-41 are general statements that "cell cycle associated disorders include cancer, that it "appears" that the protein is involved in the cell cycle, and that a difference in levels is "indicative of a disease state or a propensity for a disease state". These teachings are not sufficient to provide a substantial, real-world, and reasonably confirmed utility. There is no guidance to indicate that the nucleic acid is mutated or differentially expressed in any condition, including cancer. What is provided on p. 21 is similarly not sufficient to endow the nucleic acid with a utility. There are no genetic disorders identified which the polynucleotide could be used to identify. Applicant has identified no mutations of Mkinase, associated with cancer or not. That Mkinase "interacts" with Traf4 does not indicate that it is altered or differentially expressed in any cancer state or that it is diagnostic or prognosite of any cancer state. There are no teachings in the specification that would the artisan to diagnose any cancer or obtain any prognostic information, or to identify any other conditions based on genetic analysis. What is provided is merely an idea for an invention and an invitation to experiment to implement the invention, not the invention itself.

2